

Deborah.Easterling

244885

**From:** Deborah.Easterling  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 26, 2013 1:07 PM  
**To:** 'r.nd.rs.n@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed 16% rate increase by Duke Energy

Dear R. Anderson,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your email to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. I am forwarding your email to our Clerk's Office for processing. Your email will become a part of Docket No. 2013-59-E and will be posted on our website under this docket.

Please let me know if you should require any additional information.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling  
Administrative Assistant

-----Original Message-----

From: Nina.Gates  
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2013 11:06 AM  
To: Daphne.Duke; Deborah.Easterling  
Subject: FW: Proposed 16% rate increase by Duke Energy

-----Original Message-----

From: R. Anderson [<mailto:r.nd.rs.n@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2013 10:53 AM  
To: PSC\_Commissioner.Mitchell  
Subject: Proposed 16% rate increase by Duke Energy

Dear Commissioner:

Duke Energy appears to be doing well financially and its desired return on equity of more than 11% is outrageous if it intends to achieve it on the backs of South Carolina residents. I suggest you ask Duke why it engaged in a costly re-branding campaign coincident with its request for such an outlandish rate increase. Perhaps their investments in infrastructure qualifies for some rate increase but certainly not anywhere the gouging they would like to inflict on us. Further, the \$40 million dollar payout to William Johnson in conjunction with the botched Progress merger does not reassure me this bloated company is well managed.